

APPROVED

Deputy General

Director of

Finance and Economics

Mr. Zaborskiy Alexey



# MONITORING REPORT

## SF<sub>6</sub> destruction at JSC Halogen, Perm

Version 1.2

18 April 2012

Monitoring period:

01.01.2012–31.03.2012

Рег. номер: RU 1000309

Project operator: HaloPolymer Perm OJSC

## REFERENCE

1	Project Introduction .....	3
1.1	Monitoring period .....	3
1.2	Emission reductions for the monitoring period .....	3
1.3	Comments .....	3
2	General project activity .....	3
2.1	Title of the project .....	3
2.2	Sectoral scope: .....	3
2.3	Crediting period .....	3
2.4	Location of the project .....	3
2.5	Short description of the project (quoted from the PDD version 6.0) .....	3
2.6	Status of the project implementation .....	4
2.7	Deviations or revisions to the PDD and the monitoring plan: .....	4
2.8	Contact information on project participants responsible for the monitoring report .....	4
3	Monitoring plan and results of the project monitoring .....	5
3.1	Monitoring plan .....	5
3.1.1	Methodological approach .....	5
3.1.2	Monitored parameters in the project .....	5
3.1.3	QA/QC for the project monitoring .....	10
4	Calculation of emission reductions .....	16
5	Environmental impact .....	19
5.1	Impact on the air .....	19
5.2	Wastewater and their impact .....	19
5.3	Wastes and their impact .....	19
5.4	Control of pollutant emissions .....	20
6	Response to open items from previous reports .....	20
	APPENDIX 1. Status of QA and QC procedure .....	22
	APPENDIX 2. DEVIATIONS OR REVISIONS IN THE MONITORING PLAN OF PDD .....	23
	APPENDIX 3. LETTER OF APPROVAL .....	25

## 1 PROJECT INTRODUCTION

This Monitoring report summarizes operation of the JI project "SF<sub>6</sub> destruction at JSC HaloPolymer Perm" and is aimed on calculation of the emission reductions achieved by the project activity during the period covered by this report.

### 1.1 Monitoring period<sup>1</sup>

01 January 2012 0:00 to 31 March 2012 23:59.

### 1.2 Emission reductions for the monitoring period

Current report takes into account CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction generated during the Monitoring period. Detailed calculations are to be found in section Section 4 "Calculation of emission reductions" of the Monitoring Report.

**The actual generation of ERUs for the monitoring period is 740 882 tons CO<sub>2eq</sub>.**

### 1.3 Comments

This is the 6th monitoring report since the determination of the project. The report is prepared in accordance with the determined project design documentation (PDD) "SF<sub>6</sub> destruction at JSC "HaloPolymer Perm" Version 6 dated 02 November 2011. All the data are collected and emission reductions calculation is made in accordance with the procedures described in Section D "Monitoring Plan" of the determined PDD.

On September 15, 2011 the Government of the Russian Federation adopted a resolution #780 "On Measures for the Implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change". This document approves Regulations on the implementation of article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol. According to paragraph 2 of the Resolution, the projects will be approved by the Ministry of Economic Development of the Russian Federation.

The project "SF<sub>6</sub> destruction at JSC "HaloPolymer Perm" was approved as a project implemented in accordance with the article 6 of Kyoto Protocol with the Decree of Ministry of Economic Development # 131 dd. 16.03.2012.

## 2 GENERAL PROJECT ACTIVITY

### 2.1 Title of the project

SF<sub>6</sub> destruction at JSC "HaloPolymer Perm"

### 2.2 Sectoral scope:

Sector 11: Fugitive emissions from production and consumption of halocarbons and sulphur hexafluoride.

### 2.3 Crediting period

01 January 2008 – 31 December 2012

### 2.4 Location of the project

The considered project is located in Perm, Perm Krai, Russia. The city is administrative center of the Perm Krai. It was founded in 1723. The population is 991 500 people. "HaloPolymer Perm" is a large enterprise and significant employer in the region.

### 2.5 Short description of the project (quoted from the PDD version 6.0)

The aim of the project is to destruct SF<sub>6</sub> waste streams contributing thus to the improvement of environment situation in Perm-city and to reduction of GHG emissions. SF<sub>6</sub> is a GHG gas with a high global warming potential (GWP) that is 23 900 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent per one ton of SF<sub>6</sub>.

*Situation existing prior to the starting date of the project (quoted from the PDD Section A.2)*

SF<sub>6</sub> production line was put into operation in 1982. During the process a considerable part of sulphur hexafluoride (approximately 20% of SF<sub>6</sub> output) is lost as emissions at rectification columns.

The enterprise has relevant experience of fluorine organic compounds (FOC) destruction. Thermal destruction unit for fluorine

---

<sup>1</sup> Note: The reason for preparing MR for 3 months instead of a year is the wish of the Buyer to contract the ERU from the project as soon as possible.

organic compounds was installed at the plant and have been successfully operated since 1987. All equipment and technology are certified in compliance with the Russian standards and meet all applicable environmental requirements.

In the absence of the legislative and economic incentives to utilize (or destruct) wastes of SF<sub>6</sub> production the plant would continue to emit the SF<sub>6</sub> containing gaseous wastes in the atmosphere. This situation is the baseline scenario.

Realization of the proposed project activity is implemented under the second stage of modernization of the thermal destruction unit (TDU) and leads to destruction of SF<sub>6</sub> waste streams at the FOC thermal destruction unit and includes the following measures:

- Installation of stillage residue receiver;
- Installation of blowing-off transmission line from SF<sub>6</sub> production to thermal destruction unit with installation of receiver;
- Installation of measuring and control equipment.

For destruction of wastes in TDU the natural gas is directed in the TDU. SF<sub>6</sub> is utilized along with the gaseous wastes of HCFC-22 and monomer-4 production, but in a separate furnace unit of TDU. The technology and equipment for the project are developed by a domestic special-purpose institute and are certified in conformity with the norms of the Russian Federation and meet all environment protection requirements.

## 2.6 Status of the project implementation

The PDD v.6.0 for the current project was submitted to Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS<sup>2</sup> (hereinafter AIE) for determination in September 2011. In course of the determination the PDD was amended and as a result the final version 6.0 was issued 02 November 2011. A positive expert opinion was received for the PDD v.6.0<sup>3</sup>. The final version 6.0 of the PDD describes the project in full details. The destruction process had been started from 01.01.2008. The date of the first emission reductions is 01 January 2008.

The project was implemented as it is described in the final version 6.0 of the PDD. The starting date of the project is 01.11.07, date when the installation of the project equipment started. The implementation of the project fully corresponds to the implementation schedule presented in the PDD v6.0.

The SF<sub>6</sub> destruction project had been carried out under the second stage of the TDU modernization and included implementation of the following measures:

- Installation of stillage residue receiver;
- Installation of blowing-off transmission line from SF<sub>6</sub> production to thermal destruction unit with installation of receiver;
- Installation of measuring and control equipment.

Currently all actions according to the project are totally completed.

- Stillage residue receiver was commissioned according to the Certificate of acceptance in operation.
- Blowing-off transmission line was commissioned according to the Certificate of acceptance in operation.
- Receiver for waste collection was commissioned according to the Certificate of acceptance in operation.

All equipment had been successfully installed and is fully operational. Project data is being gathered continuously since 01.01.08.

## 2.7 Deviations or revisions to the PDD and the monitoring plan:

No revisions or deviations were made in the MR. The revisions previously made to the monitoring plan of the PDD are presented in the Appendix 2.

## 2.8 Contact information on project participants responsible for the monitoring report

### **Contact person on project participants:**

#### *Project operator and investor:*

HaloPolymer Perm OJSC, Russian Federation, 614113 Perm, Lasvinskaya str., 98

Pavel Boyko, General director

Tel.: +7 342 250 61 52, [info@halopolymer-perm.com](mailto:info@halopolymer-perm.com)

Tel.: +7 342 250 61 52, [www.halopolymer.com](http://www.halopolymer.com)

#### *Holding company:*

HaloPolymer, Russian Federation, 123056 Moscow, Leningradskiy av., 31A bldg. 1

<sup>2</sup> Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS is an Accredited Independent Entity (AIE)

<sup>3</sup> Positive expert opinion together with the Determination report has been provided to verifiers.

Alexey Zaborskiy, CFO  
Tel: +7 495 725 4400, [Alexey.Zaborskiy@halopolymer.com](mailto:Alexey.Zaborskiy@halopolymer.com)  
Tel: +7 495 725 4400, [www.halopolymer.com](http://www.halopolymer.com)

March 9, 2011 the extraordinary general meeting of shareholders JSC "Halogen" decided to change the brand name of a legal entity and the approval of the charter in the new edition. March 17, 2011 to the Unified State Register of Legal Entities entry was made to change the brand name of "Halogen" on "HaloPolymer Perm" and re-charter in the new edition. Changing the name is not a reorganization, not alter the rights and responsibilities of the entity. Occurring in the text of the Report of the name of the investor "Halogen" and "HaloPolymer Perm" are considered equal.

### **3 MONITORING PLAN AND RESULTS OF THE PROJECT MONITORING**

#### **3.1 Monitoring plan**

##### **3.1.1 Methodological approach**

###### **3.1.1.1 Baseline methodology**

The baseline was developed in compliance with the "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring"<sup>4</sup>. The project developer uses JI specific approach, but definitely coordinating it with the requirements set forth in Decision 9/CMP.1, Annex B "Criteria for baseline setting and monitoring"<sup>5</sup>

###### **3.1.1.2 Monitoring methodology**

Selection of monitoring approach was made in compliance with the "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring" version 03 and requirements of the Decision 9/CMP.1, Appendix B "Criteria for baseline setting and monitoring". The project developer used JI specific approach for establishing the monitoring. The monitoring plan data should be stored for at least 2 years after the end of the crediting period and after the last ERU tranche under the project.


#### **3.1.2 Monitored parameters in the project**

Project boundaries and monitoring points are in the diagram below:

---

<sup>4</sup> Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring (version 03), JISC

<sup>5</sup> Report of the Conference of the parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol on its first session, held at Montreal from 28 November to 10 December 2005. Decision 9/CMP.1 Guidelines for the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto protocol. Appendix B Criteria for baseline setting and monitoring. p.12–13.



**To calculate the project and baseline CO<sub>2</sub> emissions we estimated the following parameters:**

1. The measurement of amount of SF<sub>6</sub> waste supplied for destruction is made by two down-in-line stationary mass flow meters installed on the inlet pipelines to the destruction unit. The readings are automatically collected, stored and processed by Automated Process Control System (APCS). The APCS automatically calculates the conservative value of the SF<sub>6</sub> waste supplied for destruction based on the readings from two down-in-line mass flow meters.
2. The measurement of natural gas consumption is carried out since the start of operation of Kyoto project and is common for both HFC-23 and SF<sub>6</sub> destruction process. Actually the consumption of natural gas has been already taken into account in HFC-23 project and consecutive monitoring reports. But for conservatism sake the same values of natural gas consumption are also applied for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction project.
3. As prescribed in the paragraph 18 of Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring (Version 03) "Project participants must undertake an assessment of the potential leakage of the proposed JI project and explain which sources of leakage are to be calculated, and which can be neglected. All sources of leakage that are included shall be quantified and a procedure for an ex ante estimate shall be provided. Only those emission sources that account for, on average per year over the crediting period, more than 1 per cent of the difference between project and baseline emissions, or which exceed an amount of 2,000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent, whichever is lower, shall be included. The leakage assessment provided below shows that these emissions are less than 1% of the difference between project and baseline emissions. Nevertheless those emissions will be taken in to account in the monitoring to be conservative.
4. When assessing CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor from heat consumption, it is assumed that the heat efficiency of a combined heat and power plant is 40% . However, according to a scientific article published by Novosibirsk State Technical University the heat production efficiency of CHPP is 54,7%<sup>6</sup>. But we take 40% to be more conservative.

3.1.2.1 GHG gases and source

The following GHG gases and their sources are considered in the monitoring plan (Please see the Table 2 below)

**Table 1. GHG gases and their sources**

Source	GHG gas
Baseline emission source: Waste SF <sub>6</sub> emissions that were avoided as a result of the project realization	SF <sub>6</sub>
Project emission source: SF <sub>6</sub> emissions that were not destroyed in TDU	SF <sub>6</sub>
Project emission source: Emissions from natural gas combustion for destruction process	CO <sub>2</sub>
Leakage source: Consumption of electricity for SF <sub>6</sub> destruction	CO <sub>2</sub>
Leakage source: Consumption of heat for SF <sub>6</sub> destruction	CO <sub>2</sub>

<sup>6</sup> [http://www.esco-ecosys.ru/2010\\_3/art040.pdf](http://www.esco-ecosys.ru/2010_3/art040.pdf). See Fig. 4.8 "Energy flows of split and combined process" on page 59.

3.1.2.2 Data to be collected according to the monitoring plan (Please see the Table 3):

**Table 2. Data to be collected**

<b>ID number</b>	<b>Data variable</b>	<b>Source of data</b>	<b>Data unit</b>	<b>Measured (m), calculated (c), estimated (e)</b>	<b>Recording frequency</b>	<b>Proportion of data to be monitored</b>	<b>How will the data be archived? (electronic/paper)</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>1. FC<sub>NG,SF6y-fact</sub></b>	Actual natural gas consumption for SF <sub>6</sub> destruction process over a reporting period y	Technical report of the head of technical department	m <sup>3</sup>	c	monthly	100%	Electronic/paper	Actual natural gas consumption for SF <sub>6</sub> destruction is determined each month and is equal to actual consumption of natural gas for HFC-23 destruction. The detailed description on how QA and OC provided are presented below in the table 4.
<b>2. q_NDy</b>	Volume of exhaust gaseous emissions from destruction unit over a reporting period y	Mobile flow meter	m <sup>3</sup>	m	Weekly	100%	Electronic/paper	Measurement of effluent gases from the destruction unit is made by analytical method. For that purpose the speed of effluent gas is measured weekly by portable flow meter. The measurements are documented and summary reports are archived during 10 years. Measurements are used for calculation of effluent gases volume (average effluent gas speed for a period is multiplied by area of the venting pipe and length of period). The detailed description on how QA and OC provided are presented below in the table 4



ID number	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m), calculated (c), estimated (e)	Recording frequency	Proportion of data to be monitored	How will the data be archived? (electronic/paper)	Comment
<b>3. W<sub>SF6,ND</sub> y</b>	Concentration of SF <sub>6</sub> in gaseous emissions from destruction unit over a reporting period y	Chromatograph	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	m	Weekly	100%	Electronic/paper	Measurement of SF <sub>6</sub> concentration in effluent gases are performed by laboratory weekly based on the gas samples from the venting pipe after destruction unit. Gas samples are analyzed based on approved methods, measurements are made by chromatographs. The detailed description on how QA and OC provided are presented below in the table 4.
<b>4. ND_SF6 y</b>	Quantity of SF <sub>6</sub> not destroyed in the unit during the reporting period y	Formula D.1-2	t	C	Quarterly	100%	Electronic/paper	See PDD section D 1.1.2. The detailed description on how QA and OC provided are presented below in the table 4
<b>5. q_SF6 y</b>	Amount of waste gases containing SF <sub>6</sub> supplied for destruction during reporting period, y	two mass flow meter	Kg	m	Monthly (continuous measurement)	100%	Electronic/paper	Measured directly before thermal destruction unit. Monthly data is the sum of the accumulated data. The detailed description on how QA and OC provided are presented below in the table 4.
<b>6. W<sub>SF6,P1</sub> y</b>	Concentration of SF <sub>6</sub> in waste gases supplied for destruction during reporting period, y	Chromatograph	%	m	Weekly	100%	Electronic/paper	Measured once per day. The detailed description on how QA and OC provided are presented below in the table 4.
<b>7. Q_SF6 y</b>	Quantity of SF <sub>6</sub> supplied for destruction in the	Formula D1-7	t	c	Quarterly	100%	Electronic/paper	See PDD subsection D 1.1.4

ID number	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m), calculated (c), estimated (e)	Recording frequency	Proportion of data to be monitored	How will the data be archived? (electronic/paper)	Comment
<b>8. EC,y</b>	unit during reporting period, y Electricity consumption for SF <sub>6</sub> destruction during reporting period, y	Technical report of the head of technical department	MWh	c	Monthly	100%	Electronic/paper	Actual electricity consumption for SF <sub>6</sub> destruction is determined each month and is equal to actual consumption of electricity for HFC-23 destruction. The detailed description on how QA and OC provided are presented below in the table 4.
<b>9. HC,y</b>	Heat consumption for SF <sub>6</sub> destruction during reporting period, y	Technical report of the head of technical department	GJ	c	Yearly	100%	Electronic/paper	Actual heat consumption for SF <sub>6</sub> destruction is determined each month and is equal to actual consumption of heat for HFC-23 destruction. The detailed description on how QA and OC provided are presented below in the table 4.

3.1.3 QA/QC for the project monitoring

Table 3. QA/QC for the project monitoring	
Data	Explain QA/QC procedures planned for these data, or why such procedures are not necessary.
Uncertainty level of data (high/medium/low)	

Data	Uncertainty level of data (high/medium/low)	Explain QA/QC procedures planned for these data, or why such procedures are not necessary.
<b>FC<sub>NG,SF6y-fact</sub></b>	low	<p>Actual natural gas consumption for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction is equal to that of HFC23 destruction which is estimated with the use of approved consumption norms taking into account actual overconsumption or saving of natural gas over the past months. The calculation is provided each month by the production manager of shop 26 according to the Method of calculation of natural gas consumption for combustion of separate waste types approved by Chief Engineer of JSC Halogen on 29/08/08. The calculation checked and analyzed against the natural consumption in previous periods by the head of Technical Department. The initial information for the calculation includes the readings on the consumption of the natural gas for destruction of all waste substances. Such readings are made with a flow meter complex consisting of Standard diaphragm DKS-06-80-A/B-1; Differential pressure gauge AIR-20-DD and Gas corrector SPG-762. The accuracy of the readings is ensured by the periodical calibration of the flow meter complex. The calibration period for Standard diaphragm DKS-06-80-A/B-1 is 5 years and expires in IIIrd Quarter of 2013; for Differential pressure gauge AIR-20-DD is 2 years and expires in IInd Quarter of 2012; and for Gas corrector SPG-762 is 4 years and expires in IIIrd Quarter of 2014. Calibration of DKS is provided by the specialists of JSC "HaloPolymer Perm". Calibration of Differential pressure gauge AIR-20-DD is provided by JSC "Elemer", Zelenograd, Moscow oblast. Calibration of Gas corrector SPG-762 is provided by ZAO "Logika", Saint-Petersburg.</p> <p>According to GHG Monitoring Standard 2-069-2012 initial readings from the flow meter complex on the natural gas consumption are kept in paper and electronically with the head of the production shop 26 during 10 years; the calculation results on consumption of the natural gas for HFC-23 destruction are kept in paper and electronically with the head of Technical Department during 10 years.</p>
<b>q_NDY</b>	low	<p>The measurement is provided by portable flow meter TESTO according to Quantitative Chemical Analysis of Air. The accuracy of data is provided by calibration of TESTO by NPO "ECO INTEX", Moscow. The calibration period of the TESTO is 1 year and expires in IInd Quarter of 2012. According to GHG Monitoring Standard 2-69-2012 initial readings are kept with the engineer of the air laboratory in paper and electronically during 10 years. The head of Technical department keeps the information on not destroyed emissions during 10 years in paper and electronically.</p>
<b>WSF6_ND Y</b>	low	<p>Chromatograph LKhM-80 is used. Procedure of measurement of SF<sub>6</sub> mass concentration in the air of the working zone and in the industrial emissions by gas-chromatographic method. # 469-00-2010 signed by Chief Metrologist and approved by Chief Engineer dd 19/03/2010. The calibration is provided yearly by Perm Centre for Standardization, Metrology and Certification according to the calibration method. Cross-checked with the previous chromatograph analysis is provided. Printed chromatogram and calibration records are kept during 10 years with the engineer of the air laboratory.</p>

Data	Uncertainty level of data (high/medium/low)	Explain QA/QC procedures planned for these data, or why such procedures are not necessary.
<b>q_SF<sub>6</sub> Y</b>	low	<p>According to QMS, the measurement, processing and storage of data on utilization of SF<sub>6</sub> waste streams in TDU is carried out by the Automated Process Control System, namely "APCS of SF<sub>6</sub> waste stream utilization". The APCS is established on a base of a programme-technical complex (PTC): SCADA-system "Cascade" (Cheboksary, Russia) and of a multifunctional microprocessor controller "Contrast" KP-500. SF<sub>6</sub> waste streams are measured with two on-line mass flow meters PROMASS 83F15. Data from mass flow meters over two parallel channels come in modules of communication device with object (CDO), which are included in the set the "Contrast" KP-500 controller. Having been processed the information is channeled in the work stations. Failure-tolerance of the system and data safety are guaranteed by two work stations (main and standby) working in a "hot" backup mode. Relative error of PROMASS Flow meter is 0,1%. Recalibration interval of mass flow meters is 4 years. Recalibration is provided by laboratory of the company "Endress+Hauser Flowtec AG" Quantity of SF<sub>6</sub> not destroyed in the unit during the reporting period is determined each month with application of data (ID-4 and ID-5) that measured with checked and calibrated instruments. According to Standard daily and monthly reports on waste flows containing SF<sub>6</sub> are kept during 10 years with the head of Technical Department.</p>
<b>W<sub>SF<sub>6</sub>, P1, Y</sub></b>	low	<p>Sampling of SF<sub>6</sub> waste stream for determination of SF<sub>6</sub> concentration is carried out according to the approved procedure M14UK2011 "Procedure of measurements of mass shares of oxygen, nitrogen, tetrafluoromethane and sulphur hexafluoride in SF<sub>6</sub> wastes by chromatographic method". Measurements are provided by 2 chromatographs (Cristallux-4000M) according Recalibration interval is 4 years. The calibration is provided yearly by Perm Centre for Standardization, Metrology and Certification. According to Standard daily and monthly reports on waste flows containing SF<sub>6</sub> are kept during 10 years with the head of Technical Department. Printed chromatogram and calibration records are kept during 10 years with the engineer of the air laboratory. The logs on concentration of waste gases at the entrance of TDU are kept in paper with the head of laboratory. The information references on SF<sub>6</sub> concentration in waste gases are kept with the head of Technical department during 10 years.</p>
<b>Q_SF6 Y</b>	low	<p>Quantity of SF<sub>6</sub> supplied for destruction is determined each month with application of data (ID-7 and ID-8) that measured with checked and calibrated instruments.</p>
<b>EC, Y</b>	low	<p>Electricity consumption for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction is equal to that of HFC23 destruction which is calculated by the project manager of the shop 26 and checked by the head of Technical Department against the electricity consumption over the previous months. Electricity consumption of HFC-23 destruction is determined with the use of planned norms. The planned norms are determined on a base of actual electricity consumption for TDU operation over long-term historical period. If considerable distortion is found the reason of that is analyzed in order to eliminate. According to Standard the information reference of electricity consumption is kept with the head of Technical Department during 10 years in paper and electronically.</p>
<b>HC, Y</b>	low	<p>Heat consumption for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction is equal to that of HFC23 destruction which is calculated by the project manager of the shop 26 and checked by the head of Technical Department against the electricity consumption over the previous months. Heat consumption of HFC-23 destruction is determined with the use of planned norms. The planned norms are determined on a base of actual heat consumption for TDU operation over long-term historical period. If considerable distortion is found the reason of that is analyzed in order to eliminate. According to Standard the information reference of heat consumption is kept with the head of Technical Department during 10 years in paper and electronically.</p>

### 3.1.3.1 Personnel training

Training of workers and maintenance qualification upgrade for personnel has been made during the project realization.

### 3.1.3.2 The operational and management structure applied in order to implement the monitoring plan

All aspects of organizational and management structure of monitoring plan of SF<sub>6</sub> destruction project are in compliance with the effective Quality Management Standard STO 2-069-2012 "Procedure of process organization for destruction of wastes containing HFC-23 and SF<sub>6</sub>" adopted at OJSC "HaloPolymer Perm" on 11.03.2012 by the Order # 66 signed by General Director. Under the QMS, the head of Technical Department (TD) is a key focal point at the plant responsible for gathering of relevant parameters and submission of input monitoring data for elaborating a monitoring report. According to QMS the information addressed to him is coming from the following sources:

1. SF<sub>6</sub> waste streams. These data is supplied, daily and weekly, from the engineer-technologist of the shop 22 at which SF<sub>6</sub> is produced:
  - The engineer-technologist prepares and prints out daily reports on SF<sub>6</sub> waste streams with a by-hour breakdown in accord with the adopted form<sup>7</sup>.
  - The engineer-technologist prepares and prints out monthly reports on SF<sub>6</sub> waste streams with a by-day breakdown in accord with the adopted form<sup>8</sup>.
2. The head of TD checks and signs the reports.
2. SF<sub>6</sub> concentration in waste streams. These data is provided from Quality Management Laboratory. Sampling of SF<sub>6</sub> waste streams at the inlet of TDU is carried out by technological service of the shop according to a schedule of analytical control. Under analysis two parallel evaluations are carried out (two chromatograms are recorded, calculated and printed out). Lab assistant fills in a chromatogram certificate that indicates a position, the date and time of sampling and surname of an operator<sup>9</sup>. At the end of analysis each file of chromatogram must be automatically stored in appropriate database of a personal computer that services the chromatograph. A printed out protocol must include filled chromatogram certificate, chromatogram's curve and the table of peaks with calculation results. Printed out copies are attached in the special file. The head of QM Laboratory controls the accuracy of analysis and the results. Based on monthly data the head of QM Laboratory prepares a report on SF<sub>6</sub> mass concentration (%) in a waste stream for all sampling points with attachment of the results of analysis and calculated average monthly concentration. The report is checked and signed by the head of the shop.
3. SF<sub>6</sub> quantity and concentration in waste streams not destroyed in TDU. These data are provided from the head of air service laboratory. Initial information on analysis of SF<sub>6</sub> concentration in exhaust gases and measuring velocity of exhaust gas stream are prepared by the lab assistant and are registered with the log. Further on the lab engineer prepares weekly and monthly reports on analysis of SF<sub>6</sub> concentration and calculation of exhaust emissions and submits reports to the head of air service laboratory for approval.
4. Time of operation work of TDU. The head of shop 26 (in this shop the thermal destruction unit is installed) provides approved monthly reports to the head of TD. This data is supplied from the production manager of the shop who gathers information from the automated control system.
5. Natural gas consumption for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction. This quantity equals to the consumption of natural gas for HFC-23 destruction. This information is submitted from the production manager of the shop 26. The initial data on measurement of total natural gas consumption is gathered and processed by the engineer-planimetrist. The results are provided to the chief metrologist who approves and send them to the production manager of the shop 26. The production manager of the shop 26 calculates each month the planned total natural gas consumption and actual natural gas consumption for destruction of HFC-23.

<sup>7</sup> See form in the PDD Annex 3. Monitoring Plan


<sup>8</sup> See form in the PDD Annex 3. Monitoring Plan

<sup>9</sup> See the form in PDD Annex 3. Monitoring Plan.

6. Electricity and heat consumption for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction. These quantities equal to consumption of the electricity and the heat for HFC-23 destruction. This information provided monthly to the head of Technical Department by the production manager of the shop 26. The production manager calculates the monthly heat and electricity consumption multiplying the SF<sub>6</sub> waste quantity supplied for destruction by the planned consumption norm of heat or electricity.

Finally the head of TD processes the gathered information and submits it to a managing company, OJSC "HaloPolymer", Moscow. Based on the input data the draft Monitoring Report is prepared and submitted it back to OJSC "HaloPolymer Perm" for approval by the General Director. The approved MR is submitted by OJSC "HaloPolymer" to AIE for verification. Further on the organizational chart of the monitoring for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction project is provided.

3.1.3.3 Organizational chart of the monitoring for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction project



Calculations of emission reductions will be prepared by specialists of JSC "HaloPolymer" in the end of each reporting period. All data will be stored in paper and electronically at least for two years after the last ERU tranche under the project.

#### 4 CALCULATION OF EMISSION REDUCTIONS

##### *GHG project emissions during the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter of 2012, tCO<sub>2</sub>e:*

$$PE_y = ND_{SF_6 y} \times GWPSF_6 + FC_{NG, SF_6 y, \text{fact}} \times CF_{NG} \times EF_{CO_2, NG} \times 10^{-6} \quad (1)$$

Where

ND<sub>SF<sub>6</sub> y</sub> is the quantity of SF<sub>6</sub> not destroyed in the unit during the reporting period y, t;

GWP SF<sub>6</sub> is the Global Warming Potential (GWP) for SF<sub>6</sub>, t CO<sub>2</sub>e/t SF<sub>6</sub>. The approved GWP value for SF<sub>6</sub> is 23 900 t CO<sub>2</sub>e/t SF<sub>6</sub> for the first commitment period under the Kyoto Protocol.

FC<sub>NG, SF<sub>6</sub> y, fact</sub> is the actual natural gas consumption for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction process over a reporting period y, m<sup>3</sup>. Historically, since 2008, determining the natural gas consumption for incineration of GHG in TDU has been common for both gases, HFC23 and SF<sub>6</sub> and was equal to the consumption of the natural gas for HFC23 destruction. The values of natural gas consumption for HFC23 destruction are provided in the Monitoring Report for 01.01.2012 – 31.03.2012 of the project "HFC23 destruction at JSC Halogen, Perm". To provide conservatism the same values are applied for consumption of the natural gas for destruction of SF<sub>6</sub>.

CF<sub>NG</sub> – conversion-to-energy units factor for natural gas, equals to 33,812 TJ/mln m<sup>3</sup>;<sup>10</sup>

EF<sub>CO<sub>2</sub>, NG</sub> – CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor for the natural gas combustion, equals to 56,1 tCO<sub>2</sub>/TJ;

$$PE_{CO_2, NG} = FC_{NG} * CF_{NG} * EF_{CO_2} * 10^{-6} \quad (2)$$

PE<sub>CO<sub>2</sub>, NG</sub> is the project emissions due to natural gas consumption, tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>.

**Table 5. Calculated CO<sub>2</sub> project emissions associated with natural gas consumption for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction**

#	Item	Designation	Unit	Jan.2012	Feb.2012	March 2012	Total
1.	Natural gas consumption for destruction process	FCNGy	m3	14047	18454	24318	<b>56 819</b>
2.	Conversion to energy units factor for natural gas	CFNG	TJ/mln m3	33,812	33,812	33,812	
3.	CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor for the natural gas combustion	EFCO <sub>2</sub> ,NG	tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ	56,1	56,1	56,1	
4.	Project emissions due to natural gas consumption	PECO <sub>2</sub> ,NG	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	27	35	46	<b>108</b>

$$ND_{SF_6 y} = q_{ND y} \times w_{SF_6, ND y} \times 10^{-9} \quad (3)$$

q<sub>ND y</sub> is the volume of exhaust gaseous emissions from destruction unit over a reporting period y, m<sup>3</sup>;

w<sub>SF<sub>6</sub>, ND y</sub> – is the concentration of SF<sub>6</sub> in gaseous emissions from destruction unit, mg/m<sup>3</sup>

$$PE_{SF_6 y} = ND_{SF_6 y} * GWPSF_6 y \quad (4)$$

**Table 6. Calculated project emissions of SF<sub>6</sub> not destroyed in TDU, in tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent**

#	Item	Designation	Unit	Jan.2012	Feb.2012	March 2012	Total
---	------	-------------	------	----------	----------	------------	-------

<sup>10</sup> This value is taken from National Report on Cadaster of Anthropogenic Emissions from Sources and Absorption by Sinks of Greenhouse Gases Not Regulated by Montreal Protocol for 1990-2009. Part 1. Moscow, 2011. Table 3.5, page 38.



1.	Volume of gaseous emissions from destruction unit	q_NDy	m3	4331943	5506718	8047988	<b>17 886 649</b>
2.	Concentration of SF6 in gaseous emissions from destruction unit	w_SF6_ND,y	mg/m3	0,1	0,1	0,1	
3.	Quantity of SF6 not destroyed in the unit during the reporting period	ND_SF6 y	t	0,000433	0,000551	0,000805	<b>0,001789</b>
4.	Global Warming Potential of SF6	GWPSF6y	tCO2/tSF6	23900	23900	23900	
5.	Project emissions of SF6 not destroyed in the TDU	PE_SF6 y	tCO2e	10	13	19	<b>42</b>

PE\_SF6 y is the project emissions of SF6 not destroyed in the TDU over a reporting period y, tonnes of CO2 equivalent

GWPSF6y — is Global Warming Potential of SF6, tonnes of CO2/tonne of SF6.

**Table 7. Total GHG project emissions**

#	Item	Designation	Unit	Jan.2012	Feb.2012	March 2012	Total
1.	Project emissions of SF6 not destroyed in the TDU	PE_SF6 y	tCO2e	10	13	19	42
2.	Project emissions due to natural gas consumption	PECO2,NG	tCO2e	27	35	46	108
<b>3.</b>	<b>Total project emissions</b>	<b>PE</b>	<b>tCO2e</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>150</b>

**GHG baseline emissions during the 1st Quarter of 2012, tCO<sub>2</sub>e:**

$$BE_y = Q_{SF_6 y} \times GWPSF_{6 y} \quad (5)$$

Where

Q\_SF6 y is the quantity of SF6 supplied for destruction in the unit during the reporting period y, tSF6

$$Q_{SF_6 y} = 0,001 \times q_{SF_6 y} \times w_{SF_6 y} \times 10^{-2} \quad (6)$$

q\_SF6 y is the amount of waste gases containing SF6 supplied for destruction, kg;

w\_SF6,y is the concentration of SF6 in waste gases supplied for destruction, %.

**Table 8. Calculated baseline emissions, in tonnes of CO2 equivalent**

#	Item	Designation	Unit	Jan.2012	Feb.2012	March 2012	Total
1.	Amount of SF6 waste supplied for destruction	q_SF6	kg	9 631,80	10 563,90	12 501,50	<b>32 697</b>
2.	Concentration of SF6 in the waste stream supplied for destruction	WSF6,y	%	95,16	95,56	94,04	
3.	SF6 quantity supplied for destruction	Q_SF6y	t	9,17	10,09	11,76	<b>31</b>
4.	Global Warming Potential of SF6	GWPSF6y	tCO2/tSF6	23 900	23 900	23 900	
5.	<b>Baseline SF6 emissions (in terms of CO2)</b>	<b>BE</b>	<b>tCO2</b>	<b>219 163</b>	<b>241 151</b>	<b>281 064</b>	<b>741 378</b>

**Leakage during the 1st Quarter of 2012, tCO<sub>2</sub>e:**

*CO<sub>2</sub> emissions associated with grid electricity supply for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction*

Such emissions are determined according to the formula:

$$LE_{ELEC,y} = EC_y \times EF_{CO_2,ELEC,y} \times 10^{-3} \quad (7)$$

Where

EC<sub>y</sub> is consumption of the electricity for destruction of SF<sub>6</sub>, MWh. Historically, since 2008, determining the electricity consumption for incineration of GHG in TDU has been common for both gases, HFC23 and SF<sub>6</sub> was equal to the consumption of the electricity for HFC23 destruction. The values of electricity consumption for HFC23 destruction are provided in the Monitoring Report for 01.01.2012 – 31.03.2012 of the project "HFC23 destruction at JSC Halogen, Perm". To provide conservatism the same values are applied for consumption of the electricity for destruction of SF<sub>6</sub>.

EF<sub>CO<sub>2</sub>,ELEC,y</sub> – CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor for the grid electricity, tCO<sub>2</sub>/MWh.

The values are provided in the following table:

**Table 9. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to electricity consumption for destruction of SF<sub>6</sub>**

#	Item	Designation	Unit	Jan.2012	Feb.2012	March 2012	Total
1.	Electricity consumption	EC,y	MWh	121,554	143,381	155,848	<b>421</b>
2.	CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor for grid electricity[2]	EF <sub>CO<sub>2</sub>,ELEC, y</sub>	tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh	0,711	0,711	0,711	
3.	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to electricity consumption for destruction of SF <sub>6</sub>	LEELEC,y	tCO <sub>2</sub>	86	102	111	<b>299</b>

*Leakage CO<sub>2</sub> emissions associated with heat supply for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction.*

$$LE_{HEAT,y} = HC_y \times EF_{CO_2,NG} \times 10^{-3}; \quad (8)$$

HC<sub>y</sub> is the consumption of the heat for destruction unit, GJ. Historically, since 2008, determining the heat consumption for incineration of GHG in TDU has been common for both gases, HFC23 and SF<sub>6</sub> and was equal to the consumption of the heat for HFC23 destruction. The values of heat (steam) consumption for HFC23 destruction are provided in the Monitoring Report for 01.01.2012 – 31.03.2012 of the project "HFC23 destruction at JSC Halogen, Perm". To provide conservatism the same values are applied for consumption of the heat for destruction of SF<sub>6</sub>.

EF<sub>CO<sub>2</sub>,NG</sub> – CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor for heat consumption tCO<sub>2</sub>/TJ. This factor equal to 140,3 tCO<sub>2</sub>/TJ and is determined by division of CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor for the natural gas<sup>11</sup> (56,1 tCO<sub>2</sub> – the value is taken from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National GHG Inventories) in 0,4 (heat efficiency of a combined heat and power plant ).

**Table 10. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to heat consumption for destruction of SF<sub>6</sub>**

#	Item	Designation	Unit	Jan.2012	Feb.2012	March 2012	Total
1.	Heat consumption	HC,y	GJ	95,349	112,470	122,249	330,068
2.	CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor for heat consumption	EF <sub>CO<sub>2</sub>,HEAT,y</sub>	tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ	140,3	140,3	140,3	
3.	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to heat consumption for destruction of SF <sub>6</sub>	LE HEAT,y	tCO <sub>2</sub>	13	16	17	<b>46</b>

<sup>11</sup> Main type of fuel for heat and power plants in Perm krai.

*Total leakage CO<sub>2</sub> emissions*

$$LE_y = LE_{ELEC,y} + LE_{HEAT,y}$$

(9)

**Table 11 Total leakage emissions**

#	Item	Designation	Unit	Jan.2012	Feb.2012	March 2012	Total
1.	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to electricity consumption for destruction of SF <sub>6</sub>	LEELEC,y	tCO <sub>2</sub>	86	102	111	299
2.	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions due to heat consumption for destruction of SF <sub>6</sub>	LE HEAT,y	tCO <sub>2</sub>	13	16	17	46
3.	<b>Total leakage emissions</b>	<b>LE</b>	<b>tCO<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>100</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>346</b>

*Emission reductions during the 1st Quarter of 2012 in t CO<sub>2</sub>e are calculated as follows:*

$$ER_y = BE_y - PE_y - LE_y$$

(10)

**Table 12. Emission reductions over the reporting period of 1st Quarter of 2012**

#	Item	Designation	Unit	Jan 2012	Feb 2012	Mar 2012	Total
1.	Baseline SF <sub>6</sub> emissions (in terms of CO <sub>2</sub> )	BE	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	219163	241151	281064	741 378
2.	Total project emissions	PE	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	37	48	65	150
3.	Total leakage emissions	LE	tCO <sub>2</sub> e	100	118	128	346
4.	<b>Emission reductions</b>	<b>ER</b>	<b>tCO<sub>2</sub>e</b>	<b>219 026</b>	<b>240 985</b>	<b>280 871</b>	<b>740 882</b>

## 5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

The environmental work is conducted by the enterprise in the area of air protection, protection of water, recycling of wastes. HaloPolymer Perm fully obeys all requirements of Russian legislation on the environmental protection.

"HaloPolymer Perm" regularly makes payments for negative impact on the environment. The company has been developed and agreed with authorities in the prescribed manner:

- Design of limits of maximum permitted emissions;
- Design of limits of permitted discharges water bodies;
- Design of limits of wastes generation and disposal.

Monitoring compliance with environmental protection legislation is implemented in the course of inspections and audits conducted by inspecting agencies and HaloPolymer Perm department of environmental protection.

Article 32 of the Federal Law on Environmental protection #7-FZ prescribes that: "Environmental impact assessment is conducted for economic and other projects, which may directly or indirectly influence the state of the environment, irrespective of ownership type of the subjects of economic and other activities."

### 5.1 Impact on the air

As a result of thermal destruction there is no increase in the amounts of sulfur hexafluoride emissions into the atmosphere is going to happen. The degree of purification of the main component (SF<sub>6</sub>) is not lower than 99.99%, the content of sulfur hexafluoride in the exhaust gases from the unit below the sensitivity of the method (less than 0.1 mg/m<sup>3</sup>).

### 5.2 Wastewater and their impact

During destruction of sulfur hexafluoride in the thermal destruction unit an additional volume of wastewater containing salts of NaCl and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> is produced. The total discharge of harmful substances in the water body (reservoir Votkinskoye) does not exceed the established limits.

### 5.3 Wastes and their impact

Solid wastes, which are additionally formed during sulfur hexafluoride destruction, are the chemical sludge of the wastewater treatment plant at the neutralization station. They are accumulated at the sludge storage.

On the basis the environment impact assessment due to the project implementation the followings findings can be set as follows:

- The project envisages the creation of the installation of high technical level that guarantees safety for its ecological environment;
- The installation will be provided by qualified personnel with experience with similar chemicals and waste;
- The project provides for conservation measures that reduce to the minimum possible negative impact on the environment (emission coefficient of purification of the gas mixture is 99.99%, the formation of liquid and solid industrial wastes within the established limits and permits).

The technical solutions under the proposed project will reduce its environmental impacts and have the following effects:

- Compliance with environmental requirements, reduction of emissions of air pollutants
- Prevention of pollution of water basins above the applicable environmental standards
- Prevention of pollution of territory, surface and ground waters, provided that the requirements for industrial waste storage, disposal and utilization are met.

Moreover, due to the project, the greenhouse gas emissions of JSC "HaloPolymer Perm" will be significantly reduced.

Since the beginning of 2010 the OJSC "HaloPolymer Perm" has been developing a justification of the maximal permissible emissions (the volume of MPE). Currently the draft volume of MPE undergoes approval process with supervisory organization.

In 2008 the Company voluntarily addressed Western Ural Department of the Federal Service on Environmental, Technology and Nuclear Supervision (RosTechNadzor) with a proposal to set Maximal Permissible Emission on SF<sub>6</sub>. In 2009 RosTechNadzor established such MPE in the amount of 18,703 tonnes of SF<sub>6</sub>. The calculation of project emissions of not destroyed SF<sub>6</sub> demonstrates an insignificant level of SF<sub>6</sub> emissions<sup>12</sup> which are far less than the set MPE level.

In 2011 the Company addresses an expert organization "BELZ" which carried out the calculation of MPE subject to compliance with the maximal permissible concentration on a boundary of the sanitary-protection zone. The calculation demonstrated that such MPE is 10 times higher than SF<sub>6</sub> production capacity of JSC "HaloPolymer Perm". This proves that the project provides no transboundary effects.

#### 5.4 Control of pollutant emissions

On the ground of Time Schedule for MPE Compliance Control on emission sources of OJSC "HaloPolymer Perm" approved by Chief Engineer and by a Volga regional office of Federal State Agency "Center of Laboratory Analysis and Technical Measurements" the plant's air service laboratory implements the control for atmospheric pollutant emissions. The thermal destruction unit is registered as a source # 478. Gaseous effluents of HCl, HF, and NO<sub>x</sub> are regularly measured on this source. Consolidated amount of atmospheric pollutant emissions is included in the annual report 2-TP (air), which is submitted to Federal Service for Nature Management (Rosprirodnadzor). Additionally to the control program implemented by OJSC "HaloPolymer Perm" the Bashkir Republican Scientific-Research Environmental Center monitors dioxins emissions with periodicity of 2 times in a year. Over the period of implementation of SF<sub>6</sub> destruction project there have not been incidents associated with exceeding of consolidated annual pollutant emissions.

## 6 **RESPONSE TO OPEN ITEMS FROM PREVIOUS REPORTS**

In the Protocol to Verification Report on the 4<sup>th</sup> Monitoring Report for 2011 of the project "SF<sub>6</sub> destruction at OJSC "HaloPolymer Perm" the following FAR 03 was raised:

"Please provide the upgraded Quality Management Standard "Procedure of process organization for destruction of SF<sub>6</sub>" adopted at OJSC "HaloPolymer Perm" for the AIE for the review as a response to the issued at the fourth Verification Report CL 02".

Current status: The consolidated procedure is provided to AIE within monitoring data for 1<sup>st</sup> quarter 2012.

In the Protocol to Verification Report on the 5<sup>th</sup> Monitoring Report for 2011 of the project "SF<sub>6</sub> destruction at OJSC "HaloPolymer Perm" the following FAR 02 was raised:

**FAR 02.** Please provide in the next monitoring period the Internal audit report of the GHG monitoring process verification.

<sup>12</sup> See PDD table E.1-2 "Estimated SF<sub>6</sub> project emissions" of E1 section "Project emissions", line 4.

Current status: Internal audit reports for 2011 are submitted to AIE.

**APPENDIX 1. STATUS OF QA AND QC PROCEDURE**

Monitoring points	Item	Metering Device	Manufacturer's number	Manufacturer	Year of issue	Date of calibration	Date of next calibration	Calibration interval	Calibration certificate number
1.	$F_{\text{натур}}^{\text{факт}}$ Actual natural gas consumption for SF <sub>6</sub> destruction process over a reporting period y	The values of natural gas consumption for SF <sub>6</sub> destruction is equal to that of HFC23 destruction which is provided in the Monitoring Report for 01.10.2011 – 31.12.2011 of the project "HFC23 destruction at JSC Halogen, Perm". Flow metering complex: standard diaphragm DKS-06-80-A/B-1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	The initial information for calculation of includes the readings on natural gas consumption for destruction of all wastes coming in TDU. The metering of this consumption is provided with a flow metering complex which includes: standard diaphragm DKS-06-80-A/B-1, differential manometer AIR-200D and gas corrector SPG-762	2367	JSC "Halogen", Perm	17.07.2008	17.07.2013	5 years	record in technical certificate		
		20-61893	OOO NPF "Elemer", Zelenograd, Moscow oblast	2008	12.05.2010	2 years	record in technical certificate		
		1333	ZAO NPF "Logjka", Saint-Petersburg	2006	10.09.2010	4 years	15-27-2010		
2.	$q_{\text{NDY}}$ Volume of exhaust gaseous emissions from destruction unit over a reporting period y	portable flow meter (thermoanemometer) TESTO-416	1492092	NPO "ECO-INTEX", Moscow	2008	29.04.2011	29.04.2012	1 year	16/10359
3.	$W_{\text{SF6},y}$ Concentration of SF <sub>6</sub> in gaseous emissions from destruction unit over a reporting period y	Chromatograph "Cristallux-4000"	256	OOO "NPF Metakhrom", Yoshkar-Ola	2005	29.08.2011	29.08.2012	1 year	16/7337
4.	$ND_{\text{SF6},y}$ Quantity of SF <sub>6</sub> not destroyed in the unit during the reporting period y	Formula D 3 (in MR)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5.	$q_{\text{SF6},y}$ Amount of waste gases containing SF <sub>6</sub> supplied for destruction during reporting period, y	Mass flow meter PROMASS 83F08	E5033A02000 E5033B02000	Endress+Hauser Flowtec AG, Germany	2011 2011	01.05.2011	01.05.2015	4	E5033A02000/11 E5033B02000/11
6.	$W_{\text{SF6},P,I,y}$ Concentration of SF <sub>6</sub> in waste gases supplied for destruction during reporting period, y	Chromatograph "Cristallux-4000"	256	OOO "NPF Metakhrom", Yoshkar-Ola	2005	29.08.2011	29.08.2012	1	16/7337
7.	$Q_{\text{SF6}}$ Quantity of SF <sub>6</sub> supplied for destruction in the unit during reporting period, y	Formula D 6 (in MR)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8.	$ECy$ Electricity consumption for SF <sub>6</sub> destruction during reporting period, y	Electricity consumption for SF <sub>6</sub> destruction is equal to that of HFC23 destruction which is provided in the Monitoring Report for 01.10.2011 – 31.12.2011 of the project "HFC23 destruction at JSC Halogen, Perm".	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9.	$HCy$ Heat consumption for SF <sub>6</sub> destruction during reporting period, y	Heat consumption for SF <sub>6</sub> destruction is equal to that of HFC23 destruction which is provided in the Monitoring Report for 01.10.2011 – 31.12.2011 of the project "HFC23 destruction at JSC Halogen, Perm".	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

## APPENDIX 2. DEVIATIONS OR REVISIONS IN THE MONITORING PLAN OF PDD

In compliance with the paragraph 41 of the "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Version 03" the project participants are encouraged to improve the monitoring process and its results. Revisions, if any, to the monitoring plan to improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected shall be justified by the project participants and shall be submitted for the determination referred to in paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines by the AIE. In this case the AIE shall determine whether the proposed revisions improve accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishments of monitoring plans and in case of a positive determination, shall proceed with the determination referred to in paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines.

The deviations provided to the established monitoring plan of the final version 6.0 of the PDD concern defining the consumption of natural gas, electricity and heat for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction. To improve applicability of information and adhere to principles of conservatism introduced in the PDD the values of such parameters are assumed be equal to those which were defined for destruction of HFC-23 under monitoring of the emission reductions due to realization of the project "HFC-23 destruction at JSC "Halogen, Perm".

The detailed description and the reasons of deviations applied are provided in the following table.

As described in the PDD	Applied in the MR	Reasons for deviation
<p>Formula (D.1.-2) for determining the actual natural gas consumption:</p> $FCNG, SF6, y\_fact = FCNG, SF6, y\_plan * FCNGy\_total\_measured / FCNGy\_total\_plan$ <p>FCNG<sub>total</sub> measured is the measured total consumption of natural gas for destruction of all wastes incinerated in the TDU over a reporting period y, m3;</p> <p>FCNG<sub>total</sub> plan - is the planned total consumption of natural gas for destruction of all wastes incinerated in the TDU, over a reporting period y m3. The estimate of the planned total consumption is provided by the production manager of the shop 26.</p> <p>FCNG<sub>SF6</sub>, y<sub>plan</sub> is the planned natural gas consumption for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction process over a reporting period y, m3 (D.1.-3)</p> <p>FCNG<sub>SF6</sub>, y<sub>plan</sub> = 0,001 * q<sub>SF6</sub> y * SFCNG<sub>SF6</sub> plan (D.1.-4)</p> <p>SFCNG<sub>SF6</sub> is specific natural gas consumption for destruction of SF<sub>6</sub>; as SFCNG<sub>SF6</sub> the planned norm of</p>	<p>FC<sub>NG,SF6</sub>,<sub>fact</sub> is the actual natural gas consumption for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction process over a reporting period y, m<sup>3</sup>. Historically, since 2008, determining the natural gas consumption for incineration of GHG in TDU has been common for both gases, HFC23 and SF6 and was equal to the consumption of the natural gas for HFC23 destruction. The values of natural gas consumption for HFC23 destruction are provided in the Monitoring Report for 01.10.2011 – 31.12.2011 of the project "HFC23 destruction at JSC Halogen, Perm". To provide conservatism the same values are applied for consumption of the natural gas for destruction of SF6.</p>	<p>For the reason of improvement of applicability. The values of natural gas consumption have already been found in the MR for HFC23 destruction project. Having been verified they immediately are valid for SF6 destruction process.</p>

As described in the PDD	Applied in the MIR	Reasons for deviation
<p>natural gas consumption for destruction of GHG gases is assumed to be conservative, this m<sup>3</sup>/t;</p>		
<p><math>q_{SF6,y}</math> is the amount of waste gases containing SF<sub>6</sub> supplied for destruction over a reporting period <math>y</math>, kg</p>		
<p>ECy is consumption of the electricity for destruction of SF<sub>6</sub>, MWh;</p>	<p>ECy is consumption of the electricity for destruction of SF<sub>6</sub>, MWh. Historically, since 2008, determining the electricity consumption for incineration of GHG in TDU has been common for both gases, HFC23 and SF<sub>6</sub> was equal to the consumption of the electricity for HFC23 destruction. The values of electricity consumption for HFC23 destruction are provided in the Monitoring Report for 01.10.2011 – 31.12.2011 of the project "HFC23 destruction at JSC Halogen, Perm". To provide conservatism the same values are applied for consumption of the electricity for destruction of SF<sub>6</sub>.</p>	<p>For the reason of improvement of applicability. The values of electricity consumption have already been found in the MR for HFC23 destruction project. Having been verified they immediately are valid for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction process.</p>
<p><math>ECy = SECELEC,y * q_{SF6,y}</math> (D.1-9)</p>		
<p>SECELEC,y - is the specific electricity consumption for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction, MWh/t<sup>13</sup>;</p>		
<p><math>q_{SF6,y}</math> - the amount of waste gases containing SF<sub>6</sub> supplied for destruction the reporting period <math>y</math>, t;</p>		
<p>HCy is the consumption of the heat for destruction unit, GJ;</p>	<p>HCy is the consumption of the heat for destruction unit, GJ. Historically, since 2008, determining the heat consumption for incineration of GHG in TDU has been common for both gases, HFC23 and SF<sub>6</sub> and was equal to the consumption of the heat for HFC23 destruction. The values of heat (steam) consumption for HFC23 destruction are provided in the Monitoring Report for 01.10.2011 – 31.12.2011 of the project "HFC23 destruction at JSC Halogen, Perm". To provide conservatism the same values are applied for consumption of the heat for destruction of SF<sub>6</sub>.</p>	<p>For the reason of improvement of applicability. The values of heat consumption have already been found in the MR for HFC23 destruction project. Having been verified they immediately are valid for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction process.</p>
<p><math>HCy = SHC_{HEAT,y} * q_{SF6,y}</math> (D.1-11)</p>		
<p><math>SHC_{HEAT,y}</math> - is the specific heat consumption for SF<sub>6</sub> destruction, GJ/t<sup>14</sup>;</p>		

<sup>13</sup> The planned consumption norms of energy resources adopted at JSC "HaloPolymer Perm" is available on auditors' request

<sup>14</sup> The planned consumption norms of energy resources adopted at JSC "HaloPolymer Perm" is available on auditors' request





**МИНИСТЕРСТВО ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКОГО РАЗВИТИЯ  
РОССИЙСКОЙ ФЕДЕРАЦИИ  
(МИНЭКОНОМРАЗВИТИЯ РОССИИ)**

**П Р И К А З**

Москва

№

16 марта 2012 г.

131

**Об утверждении перечня проектов, осуществляемых в соответствии  
со статьей 6 Киотского протокола к Рамочной конвенции ООН  
об изменении климата**

В соответствии с пунктом 13 Положения о реализации статьи 6 Киотского протокола к Рамочной конвенции ООН об изменении климата, утвержденного постановлением Правительства Российской Федерации от 15 сентября 2011 г. № 780 «О мерах по реализации статьи 6 Киотского протокола к Рамочной конвенции ООН об изменении климата» (Собрание законодательства Российской Федерации, 2011, № 39, ст. 5486), приказываю:

Утвердить прилагаемый перечень проектов, осуществляемых в соответствии со статьей 6 Киотского протокола к Рамочной конвенции ООН об изменении климата.

Министр



Э.С. Набиуллина

Минэкономразвития России  
Вн. № 131  
от 16.03.2012 1л.+1л.



УТВЕРЖДЕН  
приказом Минэкономразвития России  
от «16» 03. 2012 г. № 131

## ПЕРЕЧЕНЬ

### проектов, осуществляемых в соответствии со статьей 6 Киотского протокола к Рамочной конвенции ООН об изменении климата

1. Инвестиционный проект «Реализация комплекса энергосберегающих мероприятий на ОАО «Казаньоргсинтез» (инвестор проекта – ОАО «Казаньоргсинтез», иностранное уполномоченное лицо – «Газпром Маркетинг и Трейдинг Лимитед»).

2. Инвестиционный проект «Утилизация попутного нефтяного газа с Западно-Салымского и Нижне-Шапшинского месторождений, Ханты-Мансийский автономный округ - Югра, Россия» (инвестор проекта – ЗАО «ЮграГазПроцессинг», иностранное уполномоченное лицо – «Стичтинг Карбон Перчейзинг Интермедиари»).

3. Инвестиционный проект «Повышение энергоэффективности на ОАО «Металлургический завод им. А.К. Серова» компании УГМК» (инвестор проекта – ОАО «Металлургический завод им. А.К. Серова», иностранное уполномоченное лицо – «Витол С.А.»).

4. Инвестиционный проект «Утилизация гексафторида серы на предприятии ОАО «ГалоПолимер Пермь» (инвестор проекта – ОАО «ГалоПолимер Пермь», иностранное уполномоченное лицо – «Витол С.А.»).

---

### APPENDIX 3. LETTER OF APPROVAL